App. F IX-4

1928.

Previous References (Mc)

File No. 63-28

Serial Nos. 1 to 129

Later References

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Serial Nos.

Part B for April 29

SUBJECT

Bye laws framed by the Hoskote Municipal Council regarding Control of epidemic diseases

129 To, The D C. Bangalore
No L 6692/ d 8 4 1929
Sanctions the Bye laws
referred to above

HB
20 4 29

L4012

App F III-2]

General and Revenue
Secretariat

File No 63 of 1928

Serial No

101 Letter no C2 2714/28 29 dated 5/2/29. from the Dy commr Bangalore Dt forwarding for sanction the draft framed by the Hoskote mcl under sec (i) of the mcl Rgn —

The byelaws are in order but for a few additions and verbal alterations made therein and compare well with those of the Sidlaghatta Municipality —

II Epidemic diseases among animals a byelaw has to be added as follows after ( )

5r The Veterinary Inspector shall on information of the existance of any such disease make necessary enquiries and if he is satisfied that the removal or of the affected animal is necessary, require the owners to remove within a reasonable time, such animal to any institution maintained by the municipality for its due care and treatment or to keep it at such place as may be approved of by him On a report from the Veterinary Inspector that the destruction of any animals is necessary by reason of its suffering from such disease, the animal may be [illegible] with the Consent of the owner.

Submitted for orders copies of Sidlaghatta & Tirthahalli Byelaws are put up

[illegible] Z

1173 R 6/12/3

1373 R 5/4/3

entn

WD

General and Revenue Secretariat

ML File No 63 4312

App F III—2] 9

of 1928

Serial No

As special provision has been made in Sect 126 A re stray dogs — byelaw (v) is unnecessary.

The byelaws may be passed with the above additions & alterations.

[illegible])

15/3

OFFICE OF THE [illegible] MEMBER OF COUNCIL
18 MAR 1929
No. 6899

Yes
CSK
17/3

Dft 28/3

Draft sent up for approval

30/3

Issue

3/4

129 Not 669 dd 8/4/29 [illegible] Bangalore sanctions the byelaws with certain observations

Sent copy
G 15 APR 1929
L S G Bky
8/5

ml 63-[illegible]-[illegible]

3. No.G2-2714/28-29. Office of the Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District,

Bangalore, dated the 5th February 1929.

GEN & REV SECT
4012
5 MAR 1929

From,

C.B.Heblikar, Esq., B.A., LL.B.,
Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District.

To

R. Ranga Rao, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
General Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
Bangalore.

Sir,

I have the honour to submit herewith for sanction of Government the Bye-law framed by the Hoskote Municipal Council under Section 44 of the Municipal Regulation.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,
Sd. C.B.Heblikar.
Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District.

G.R S VI G 34]

4

SUBJECT

Diary No of letter replied to L 4012

Section Ml

File No 63-28

Serial No 129

General and Revenue Secretariat

(Ml)

the 192

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore

Date of issue. [illegible]

Signed by [illegible]

Read— Letter no. Ca-2714/28-29 dated 5/2/29 from the Deputy Commr Bangalore District forwarding for sanction the draft byelaws framed by the Hoskote municipal regarding control of epidemic diseases.

May this be

- i Printed ?
- ii treated as a standing order ?
- iii published in the Gazette. English Part ?
- iv translated ?
- v placed at the disposal of the press ?
- vi published in the Gazette, Kannada Part ?

Order Thereon No: $\frac{\text{L 6692}}{\text{Em Byelaw}}$

The byelaws are approved with the following modifications and verbal alterations made in the copy appended

III Epidemic diseases among

animals, a byelaw has to be added
as follows after (1)
"The Veterinary Inspector shall
on receiving information of the
existence of any such disease
make necessary enquiries and
if he is satisfied that the removal
or segregation of the affected
animal is necessary, require
the owners to remove within
a reasonable time, such animal
to any institution maintained
by the municipality for its due
care and treatment or to keep it
at such place as may be approved
of by him. On a report from
the Veterinary Inspector that the
destruction of any animal is
necessary by reason of its suffering
from such disease, the animal
may be destroyed with the consent
of the owner"

Byelaw no (V) be unnecessary as
special provision has been made
in Sec 126 of the Mul Regn and
may be omitted.

The byelaws may be got printed
and a dozen copies sent to this office for reference

List of enclosures.

Copied by

Examined by

5/4
for General Secy to Govt

4.3.14

To the DC Bangalore with [illegible]